G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891–1892
~~191~~ .
(L.R.)

File No. 26

Serial Nos. 1—8

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(25)

Serial Nos. 1—8

Part B for May 1893

SUBJECT.

Maintenance of Khelwar Registers in Taluk Offices.

Countersd KS

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

Indexed

Read:—

Letter No. 706 of the 22nd February 1893 from the Dy: Commr, Tumkur District stating that the Khetwar Registers have been maintained in the Taluks of Chicknaikanhalli, Gubbi Sira and Pavagada, and that ~~the Registers of~~ in the Tiptur, Kunigal and Maddagiri Taluks, the Registers have been prepared and kept with the Shanbhoga but that the duplicate copies of the same have not been prepared and kept in the Taluk Offices.

Order thereon.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Recorded.

2. The Dy: Commr will expedite the preparation of the duplicate copies of the Khetwar Registers of the Tiptur, Kunigal and Maddagire Taluks and report for the further information of the Govt when the duplicate copies have been kept in the Taluk offices

~~to be kept in~~

~~Taluk officers~~

Draft for approval

Revise

Maddagire are a Statement showing for each Taluk of the Tumkur District the year in which the Survey settlement took effect

Table may be prepared accordingly

25/3

Statement submitted as directed.

30/3.

Chief Secretary

Dy

Dy

13/3/93

The Dy: Commr

Tumkur Dt.

3

14

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Read,

Correspondence ending with Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's letter No. 706 dated 22nd Feb/93 regarding the maintenance of Khayt-vav Registers in duplicate.

Order-

The Deputy Commr's remark in regard to the Khaytvav Registers of the Taluks of Sira, Gubbi, Pavagad and Chikmaitan-halli is not sufficiently explicit. But Government take it to mean that in these Taluks the Registers have been kept and are maintained

Are not Khetwar Regrs prepared by the Survey Dept on a copy furnished to each Ryot?
When the rent forms were prescribed, it was not intended to prepare Duplicates of the Regr
HL
20/4/93

I think not - But the Commr will be asked - HL 26/4

maintained in duplicate,
one copy remaining with
the Shanbogue and the
other in the Taluk Cutcherry -
The Deputy Commissioner
will report if the case
be otherwise.

2 That a second copy
should not have been
prepared and maintained
in the Taluk Cutcherry
in the case of the other
Talukes, though these
were settled many years
ago, is extraordinary
and speaks unfavorably
of the Jamabandi Officers
who

10.

who have failed to notice and rectify this omission to comply with the explicit instructions printed at page 68 of the Survey Manual - The Deputy Commissioner will now cause the duplicates to be prepared without unnecessary delay.

3 The Deputy Commrs of other Districts will see whether there is a similar omission in their Distts and if any exist, take steps to rectify it at once

Ch: Secy

Subd'e Circular (Rev) in appeal or other cases - The instructions contd in para 6 appeals contd in para 6 appeals of the Survey Manual have been repeated in the Rules contd in Appx A Rules -

The Deputy Commrs Dumka Divn

The Dy Commrs of other Distts

Copy to Supdt Rev. Survey for info



ent Shewing the years in which Survey Settlement took effect in the Several Talukes of the Tumkur District.

Sl. No.	Taluk.	No. and date of order Sanctioning the introduction of Survey rates.		year in which the Settlement took effect.	
1.	Tumkur	~~No. 509 Dy 19-5-71 from Survey Commr.~~ No. for 30: No. 1242/28 Dy 10-6-71.		1871-72	
2.	Maddagiri	No. 2868-91 Dy 3-6-76		1876-77	
3.	Chikkanakanhally	No. 10590-212 Dy 15-2-79.	✓	1879-80	
4.	Sira	No. 2052-74 Dy 14-7-70	✓	1870-71	
5.	Gubbi	No. 1333-89 Dy 12-5-82	✓	1882-83	
6.	Tiptur	No. 6187-98/1089 Dy 19-2-80		1880-81.	
7.	Pavagada	No. 401-22 Dy 25-4-72	✓	1872-73.	
8.	Huliyal	No. 1264-75/17 Dy 19-5-81.		1881-82	

30/5/93.

(General Serial No. 63)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
3110
13 JUN. 92
200

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore

Dated

Despatched Tumkur 10th June/92

Received

Enclosures No. /

General No.
No 32

Submits for sanction a bill for Rupees 53-12— on account of paper required for the preparation of the Khétwar Registers to be kept in the Tumkur Taluk Office as per new form.

Acct Branch Mark 13/6

Read
15/6/92

Drafted
21/6/92

31
15-6-92

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District

No. 32 Encl 1 Tumkur 10th June 1892.

(6)

To.

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Sir

I have the honor to forward for sanction a bill for Rupees 53-12- on account of paper required for the preparation of the Khétwar registers (to be kept in the Taluk office) of the villages in the Tumkur Taluk as per new form, and to state that since the Survey Settlement of this Taluk no stationery has been supplied for this purpose - A sum of Rupees 300 has been provided in the current years budget to meet such charges -

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner T.D.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From

To The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

Dated 2nd July 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 88.

R.T. No. 13-92. June/92

His letter No 32 d/ 10th ~~Inst~~ June
submitting for sanction a bill for
Rs 3-12- on account of
paper required for the
preparation of Khétwar
Registers to be kept in the
Tumkur Taluk.

With reference to the above
observes that the Tumkur
Taluk was settled in 1871-72.
The Deputy Commissioner
is ~~therefore~~ requested to
report in what year
~~inform him whether~~ the Khet-
war Registers have been
of the Taluk were last written up.
~~kept up in the Taluk Since~~
~~then or if it is only intended~~
~~to be introduced~~

Yours.

'By order'

Under Secy

Rec. Dk 24/7/92

Draft Inlet

15/7/92

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE
26 JUL. 92
242
GOVT OF MYSORE

1892-93. 915.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Dated Tumkur 21st July 1892
Despatched — 22d —
Received

Enclosures No.
General No. 178
No.

Sir

With reference to your Docket No. 88 dated 2d July 1892 requesting to be informed when the Khetwar accounts were last written in the Tumkur Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar reports that after the Survey Settlement in 1871-72 Khetwars were prepared by the Shanbogues for reference in the villages, but that copies for record in the Taluk Office were not prepared.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Draft

Read:-

Letter No 32 dated 10th June 1892 from the Deputy Commissioner, Sunkur District, submitting for sanction a bill for Rs 53-12-4 on account of paper required for the preparation of the Khetwar Registers in the new form to be kept in the Taluk office of the villages in the Sunkur Taluk. ~~as per red form.~~

Read also:-

Letter No 178 dated 21st July 1892 from the Deputy Commissioner Sunkur District.

Rs 2669-70 / R. 713-92 / Dr. 17-8-92 / 19 / Dr 1

Order thereon:-

The bill submitted with letter No 32 above-mentioned for Rs 53-12-4 is sanctioned & forwarded to the Comptroller for audit, the cost being debited to the provision in the current year's budget under the head "Stationery, Revenue Survey Department," 4 Land Revenue.

2. It is strange that the Khetwar Registers have not been ~~Under Secretary~~ maintained in the Taluka Office from the year in

which the Survey and
Settlement was introduced
in to the Taluk, viz, 1871-72.
The Dy. Comm^r will report if these
accounts have been duly maintained
in the other Talukes of his District.

Chief Secty.

D. D.

To The Dy Commissioner
Tumkur District
" Comptroller

A copy for info
"
Dec 16/8

(General Serial No. 30.)

9507.

24 FEB. 93

1892-93. R 2388

From The Dy: Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt: of Mysore.

Dated 22nd February 1893.

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. "

General No.

No. 706

Govt: order Nos: 2669-70 dy 11th August 1892 R.T. 13-92

"

States that the Village Khetwar Registers have been maintained in the Taluks of Chikkanayakanahalli, Gubbi, Sira & Pavagada; that in the Taluks of Tiptur, Kunigal & Madhugiri they have been prepared & kept with the Shanbogues, but the duplicate copies of the same have not been prepared & kept in the Taluk offices, & that orders have been issued to the Amildars concerned to send vidents for the papers for this purpose.

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—27-1-93.—5,000.

Tumkur District.
Dated 22nd February 1893.

No: 706.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to the latter part of para 2 of Government Order No. 2669-70 / R.F. 13-92 of the 17th August 1892, I have the honor to state that the village Kheiulwar Registers have been maintained in the Taluks of Chickanaikanhalli, Gubbi, Sira and Pavagada. In the Taluks of Tiptur, Kunigal, and Maddagiri they have been prepared and kept with the Shanbogues, but the duplicate copies of the same have not been prepared and kept in the Taluk offices.

2. Orders have been issued to the Amildars concerned to send indents for the paper for this purpose. A separate communication will be made on receipt of their replies. A sum of Rs. 300/- has been provided in the current year's budget for such charges.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner.

No. 17970
R.F. 13-92

D.F. 26-4-93
2-5.

L.P.
26-4-1893
Sec. 6

16

To
The Supdt of Rev Survey & Settlt
Darjeeling

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to inform me whether Khoystrees (Form No 1 of the Rules under Rule 7 of the Rules for the Survey Department and published as a Schedule to the Notification of the Revenue & Agriculture Department No 1 dated the 1st January 1892) are required by the Survey Manual and Instructions of the Revenue Settlement Officer (in view of the restriction contained in para 6 page 6 of the Survey Manual and omitted in the corresponding instructions in the Appendix A to the Rules under the Act) for the Darjeeling District. If so, I consider that a duplicate copy of these Registers should be maintained in the Collectorate Office in addition to the one kept and maintained by the Settlement Officer. I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

26/4

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
12788
5 MAY 93

From The Superintendent of Mysore Revenue Survey

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated Bangalore
Despatched May 1893
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1090
No.

In reply to letter No 17970 of 26th Ultimo
R713-92

SUBJECT.

Offering his opinion relating to maintain a duplicate copy of village Farm No 1 in the Taluk

Rev. Mem 5/5

Submitted for orders. WG/5

WB 12/5/93 12/5/93. Draft a circular

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Inclose the old Survey Manual which is not repeated in the new Rules is no longer in force. [Any Enclosures of this Kind will be useful as the impression is spreading in General Quarters]

WB 5/5

Drafted SR 17/5

Put letter with a Draft Circular for approval as Govt. to (Rev) Commissioner with reference to Commissioner's Enquiry of 20/4/93

Attd 17/5/93

Nothing on that one also is Govt & that the

Rec 6/5

10/5/93 WR

No 1090

Survey Superintendent's Office
Bangalore 4th May 1893

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore

Sir,

I have the honor to state, in reply to your letter No. 17970/R7 13-92 of the 26th Ultimo, that I do not consider it necessary to maintain a duplicate copy of village Form No. 1 in the Taluk. At first, when the Survey system was new, a duplicate copy for the Taluk was advisable but may now be dispensed with. The original, after the Settlement

the Shanbag under the Amildar's signature.

2. Khatwar Register Forms are not printed by the Survey Department and supplied to the Amildars.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed[t] Servant

Supt[t] Mysore Rev[d] Survey

Prapp

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

LR
22-1-94
8

Circular Memorandum

Do 19848-56 dt 22-1-93
R.F. 13-92 25

The attention of Deputy Commissioners of Districts is drawn to the fact that the instructions contained in para 6 at page 68 of the Survey Manual of 1879 regarding the maintenance of Khey twar ~~Registers~~ Registers (Village Account Form No 1) in duplicate are omitted in the Correspond-ing instructions in Appendix A to the Rules under the Land Revenue Code, and therefore that the maintenance of

1892—93.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

REVENUE.

PROCEEDINGS:

Dated 22nd May 1893.

No. 19848-56.
R. F. 13-92.

Observing that the maintenance in the Taluk Cutcherry of a duplicate copy of Khetwar Register (Village Account Form No. 1) is no longer necessary and need not be insisted upon

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—30-5-93—120.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of My (Revenue),—dated 22nd May 1893.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF M
Dated, Bangalore, 22nd May

CIRCULAR MEMORANDUM.

No. 19848-56—R. F. 13-92, DATED 22ND MAY 1893.

The attention of Deputy Commissioners of Districts is drawn to the fa that the instructions contained in para 6 at page 68 of the Survey Manual 1879 regarding the maintenance of Khetwar Registers (Village Account For No. 1) in duplicate are omitted in the corresponding instructions in Append A to the Rules under the Land Revenue Code and therefore that the mainte ance of a duplicate copy of it in the Taluk Cutcherry is no longer necess and need not be insisted upon.

"By Order."

T. ANANDA ROW,
Chief Secreta

To—The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.
The Survey Superintendent.

Exd.—A.N.S.